



UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. P-4015.398/P 09/498,772 02/05/00 RAITH **EXAMINER** TM02/1010 TORAL David E Bennett ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Coat & Bennett PLLC PO Box 5 2684 Raleigh NC 27602 DATE MAILED: 10/10/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

1- File Copy

		pplication No		Applicant(s)	
	i i	9/498,772	,772 RAITH, ALEX K		ISTER
Office Action Summary		xaminer		Art Unit	
		hawar Iqbal		2684	
The MAILING DATE of this concerns of the Period for Reply	ommunication appear	rs on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COI	MMUNICATION.				
Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of If the period for reply specified above is less the If NO period for reply is specified above, the ma Failure to reply within the set or extended perio Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1. Status	this communication. In thirty (30) days, a reply with siximum statutory period will a of for reply will, by statute, cau months after the mailing date	nin the statutory mi pply and will expire se the application	nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	will be considered timel the mailing date of this co 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.
1) Responsive to communication	on(s) filed on				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This a		inal		
3) Since this application is in coclosed in accordance with the	ondition for allowance	e except for f	ormal matters, pr		ie merits is
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) is/are pendir	ng in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn t	from conside	ation.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed	i. <u>.</u>				
6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objecte	d to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to	restriction and/or ele	ection require	ment.		
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)□ accepted	or b)☐ object	ed to by the Exan	niner.	
Applicant may not request that	any objection to the dra	awing(s) be he	d in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correcti	ion filed on is:	a) approve	ed b) disapprov	ed by the Examine	er.
If approved, corrected drawings	are required in reply to	o this Office ac	tion.		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is obje	cted to by the Exami	ner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 1	20				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a	a claim for foreign pri	ority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ Noi	ne of:				
1.☐ Certified copies of the p	oriority documents ha	ve been rece	ived.		
2. Certified copies of the p	priority documents ha	ve been rece	ived in Applicatio	n No	
3. Copies of the certified of application from the* See the attached detailed Office	International Bureau	(PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		Stage
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a					application)
a) ☐ The translation of the fore 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a	ign language provisi	onal applicati	on has been rece	ived.	цриодиону.
Attachment(s)	·	-		·	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Reg Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO- 		4) 5) 6)		PTO-413) Paper No(satent Application (PTC	
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action	Summary		Part of F	Paper No. 04

Art Unit: 2684

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims1-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henry, Jr. (# 5991622) and further in view of Imaseki (# 3913017) and O'Neal et al (# 6263064).
- 3. Regarding claims 1,15,27,38 and 44 Henry, Jr. teaches method of channel selection for a mobile station comprising: determining a position of said mobile station (col. 6, lines 16-25) periodically performing channel quality measurements of signals transmitted from one or more base stations (col. 7, lines 20-26) wherein a frequency of performing said channel quality measurements is a function of said position of said mobile station (col.9, lines 5-60, col. 10, lines 1-10, fig. 1-10). Henry, Jr. does not specifically teach channel quality measurements is a function of said position. On the other hand Imaseki discloses frequency of performing said channel quality measurements is a function of said position.
- 2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Imaseki user frequency of performing said channel quality measurements is a function of said position into the system of

Art Unit: 2684

Henry mobile station may monitor signal and frequency of channel quality measurement would increase with increasing position.

- 4. Regarding claims 2,16,26,32,45 and 37 Henry, Jr. teaches frequency of performing said channel quality measurements is a function of the relative position of said mobile station with respect to a first base station serving said mobile station (col. 4, lines 1-15, see above).
- 5. Regarding claims 3,17,33,40 and 46 Henry, Jr. teaches frequency of performing said channel quality measurements is a function of the relative position of said mobile station with respect to a first base station serving said mobile station and at least one additional base station (col. 10, lines 30-55, see above).
- 6. Regarding claims 4 and 18 Henry, Jr. teaches position of said at least one additional base station is transmitted to said mobile station by said first base station (col. 4, lines 1-15, see above).
- 7. Regarding claims 5 and 19 Henry, Jr. teaches position of said at least one additional base station is included in a neighbor list transmitted to said mobile station by said first base station (col. 4, lines 1-15, see above).
- 8. Regarding claims 6,20,34,41 and 47 Henry, Jr. teaches frequency of performing said channel quality measurements is a function of the mobility of said mobile station (col. 6, lines 52-65, see above).
- 9. Regarding claims 7,21,35,42 and 48 Henry, Jr. teaches frequency of performing said channel quality measurements is a function of the rate of change of said position of said mobile station (col. 3, lines 10-20, col. 6, lines 52-66).

Art Unit: 2684

10. Regarding claims 8,22,36,43 and 49 Henry, Jr. teaches frequency of performing said channel quality measurements is a function of the length of time said mobile station (col. 7, lines 1-7,55-60, see above).

- 11. Regarding claims 9 and 23 Henry, Jr. teaches channel quality measurements are performed by said mobile station while said mobile station is in an idle mode (col. 6, lines 20-30, fig. 5-10).
- 12. Claims10, 11,24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henry, Jr. (# 5991622) and further in view of Imaseki (# 3913017) and O'Neal et al (# 6263064).
- 13. Regarding claims 10,11,24 and 25 Henry, Jr. and Imaseki do not specifically teach packet switched call and circuit switched call. On the other hand O'Neal et al disclose packet switched call and circuit switched call (col. 10, lines 45-67, col. 11, lines 1-7). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of O'Neal et al user packet switched call and circuit switched call into the system of Henry channel selection procedures very depending on whether circuit-switched or packet-switched connection are used in wireless communication system.
- 14. Regarding claims 12 and 29 Henry, Jr. teaches mobile station uses said channel quality measurement for cell reselection (col. 1, lines 55-65, col. 9, lines 30-44).
- 15. Regarding claim 13 Henry, Jr. teaches further including transmitting said channel quality measurements from said mobile station to a first base station serving said mobile station (col. 4, lines 1-20).

Application/Control Number: 09/498,772 Page 5

Art Unit: 2684

16. Regarding claim 14 Henry, Jr. teaches making hand-off determinations at said first base station based on said channel quality measurements (col. 10, lines 45-54, see above).

- 17. Regarding claim 28 Henry, Jr. teaches transmitting said list of neighboring base stations and corresponding positions for each of the neighboring base stations is transmitted on a broadcast channel (col.5, lines 1-10).
- 18. Regarding claim 30 Henry, Jr. teaches list includes a plurality of area definitions, and wherein said neighboring base stations in said list are associated with at least one of said area definitions in said list (col. 4, lines 1-15).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kong (# 6275186), Kinoshita (# 5432842), Ghosh (# 5508708), Smith (6167274), Spaur (6122518) and 5293641) teach communication channel and position selection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KHAWAR IQBAL whose telephone number is 703-306-3015.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, DANIEL HUNTER, can be reached at 703-308-6732.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Art Unit: 2684

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2684 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Khawar Iqbal

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600